

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/690,812		10/18/2000	Makoto Fujieda	1095.1139/JDH	2768	
21171	7590	05/16/2003				
	STAAS & HALSEY LLP				EXAMINER	
700 11TH STREET, NW SUITE 500				CAO, HUEDUNG X		
WASHIN	WASHINGTON, DC 20001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2671		
				DATE MAILED: 05/16/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/690,812	FUJIEDA, MAKOTO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Huedung X Cao	2671				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 C</u>	october 2000					
	s action is non-final.					
, —		prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers		·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some * c)⊡ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2671

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bardasz et al. (5,689,711) in view of Suzuki (5,272,642).

As per claim 1, Bardasz teaches "a three dimensional model management system for managing a three dimensional model in which relationship of subordination of individual parts is represented by a hierarchical structure," comprising:

object information acquiring means for acquiring object information of individual parts constituting the three dimensional model (Bardasz, figure 5, User Interface 37);

sorting means for sorting the object information acquired by said object information acquiring means in accordance with the hierarchical structure (Bardasz, builder tool 61, col. 26, lines 36-65);

display form setting means for setting a display form in which the object information is to be output for display (Bardasz, figures 24a-24b);

Art Unit: 2671

editing means for editing the object information sorted by said sorting means, in accordance with setting by said display form setting means (Bardasz, col. 43, lines 5-12; and col. 49, lines 22-44); and

output means for outputting the object information edited by said editing means to a display device (Bardasz, col. 49, lines 25-35).

It is noted that Bardasz does not explicitly teach that object information arranged in the hierarchical structure is "attribute information" of the object. However, Bardasz' constraint relationships which define the order or position of the object in the hierarchical structure suggests the characteristic or attribute of object as claimed. Furthermore, Suzuki teaches that in a hierarchical structure, the attribute information of the object can be used to define its position and/or order in the structure (Suzuki, figure 2, Layer table 9 includes the attribute information 18). Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, in view of the teaching of Suzuki, to configure Bardasz' system as claimed by using the attribute information, similar to Bardasz' constraint relationship information, to define the object's position in the hierarchical structure because the attribute data and constraint relationship information have the same characteristic of defining object's property.

Claim 2 adds into claim 1, wherein said editting means excludes attribute information of a predetermined part such that the predetermined part is not displayed on a display screen of the display device (Bardasz, col. 43, lines 5-42).

Claim 3 adds into claim 1, clarifying means for clarifying the attribute information acquired by said attribute information acquiring means according to attribute; wherein

Art Unit: 267,1

said editing means refers to a result of classification by said classifying means and excludes attribute information of a part having a predetermined attribute such that said part is not displayed on a display screen of the display device (Bardasz, col. 49, lines 33-64).

Claim 4 adds into claim 1, wherein said editing means rearranges attribute information of a part at a lower hierarchical level than a predetermined hierarchical level in the hierarchical structure of the three dimensional model such that said part belongs to the predetermined hierarchical level (Bardasz, col. 43, lines 5-42).

Claim 5 adds into claim 4, redefining means for redefining, as a single part, a group of parts which are defined in the three dimensional model as a plurality of parts, and for generating a new attribute information on the redefined part (Bardasz, col. 43, lines 5-42.

Claim 6 adds into claim 5, wherein said redefining means redefines a predetermined part to which a plurality of parts are subordinate at a lower hierarchy level, as a single part including said plurality of parts, and generates a new attribute information on the redefined part (Bardasz, col. 41, line 58 to col. 42, line 27).

Claim 7 adds into claim 1, specifying means for specifying predetermined attribute information displayed by the display device;

three dimensional data acquiring means for acquiring, from the three dimensional model, three dimensional data corresponding to the attribute information specified by said specifying means (Bardasz, col. 27, lines 19-41); and

Art Unit: 2671

facet data generating means for generating facet data, which is surface data for display, from the three dimensional data acquired by said three dimensional data acquiring means (Bardasz, col. 27, lines 41-56).

Claim 8 adds into claim 7, wherein identification information affixing means for affixing identification information indicative of normal creation to the facet data generated by said facet data generating means (Bardasz, col. 27, lines 45-56).

As per claim 9, Bardasz teaches "a computer readable recording medium recording a program for causing a three dimensional model management system to manage a three dimensional model in which relationship of subordination of individual parts is represented by a hierarchical structure," comprising:

object information acquiring means for acquiring object information of individual parts constituting the three dimensional model (Bardasz, User Interface 37);

sorting means for sorting the object information acquired by said object information acquiring means in accordance with the hierarchical structure (Bardasz, builder tool 61, col. 26, lines 36-65);

display form setting means for setting a display form in which the object information is to be output for display (Bardasz, figures 24a-24b);

editing means for editing the object information sorted by said sorting means, in accordance with setting by said display form setting means (Bardasz, col. 43, lines 5-12; and col. 49, lines 22-44); and

output means for outputting the object information edited by said editing means to a display device (Bardasz, col. 49, lines 25-35).

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/690,812

Art Unit: 2671

It is noted that Bardasz does not explicitly teach that object information arranged in the hierarchical structure is "attribute information" of the object. However, Bardasz' constraint relationships which define the order or position of the object in the hierarchical structure suggests the characteristic or attribute of object as claimed. Furthermore, Suzuki teaches that in a hierarchical structure, the attribute information of the object can be used to define its position and/or order in the structure (Suzuki, figure 2, Layer table 9 includes the attribute information 18). Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, in view of the teaching of Suzuki, to configure Bardasz' system as claimed by using the attribute information, similar to Bardasz' constraint relationship information, to define the object's position in the hierarchical structure because the attribute data and constraint relationship information have the same characteristic of defining object's property.

Art Unit: 2671

Inquires

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to **Huedung Cao** whose telephone number is

(703) 308-5024.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mark Zimmerman, can be reached at (703) 305-9798.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal

Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office

whose telephone number is (703) 305-0377.

Huedung Cao

Patent Examiner

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 7

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600